

GENERALIZED DUISTERNAAT-HECKMAN \Rightarrow DUISTERNAAT-HECKMAN I

COMMON ASSUMPTIONS : M IS A COMPACT MANIFOLD OF DIMENSION
 $n = 2k$ WITH SYMPLECTIC FORM σ AND
ORIENTED BY THE LIOUVILLE FORM
$$dV_\sigma = \frac{1}{k!} \sigma \wedge \dots \wedge \sigma$$

DUISTERNAAT-HECKMAN :

$H \in C^\infty(M)$ IS A Morse FUNCTION

V_H IS THE HAMILTONIAN VECTOR FIELD OF H ($dH = \iota_{V_H} \sigma$)

AND IS ASSUMED TO HAVE A PERIODIC FLOW

THEN $\forall T > 0$

$$\int_M e^{iTH} = \sum_{dH(p)=0} \left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\right)^k \frac{e^{\pi i (\text{SGN}(\mathcal{H}_H(p)))/4} e^{iTH(p)}}{\sqrt{|\det(\mathcal{H}_H(p)(e_i, e_j))|}}$$

WHERE $\mathcal{H}_H(p)$ IS THE HESSIAN OF H AT p AND $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2k}\}$ IS A BASIS
FOR $T_p(M)$ WITH $(dV_\sigma)_p(e_1, \dots, e_{2k}) = 1$.

GENERALIZED DUISTERNAAT-HECKMAN :

G IS A COMPACT LIE GROUP

THERE IS A HAMILTON ACTION OF G ON M ($\mu: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$)

$\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ IS SUCH THAT $Z(\xi^n)$ IS DISCRETE

THEN

$$\int_M e^{i\mu(\xi)} dV_\sigma = \sum_{\xi^n(p)=0} (2\pi i)^k \frac{e^{i\mu(\xi)(p)}}{\text{PF}(L_p(\xi))}$$

ASSUME THAT THE GENERALIZED DUISTERNAAT-HECKMAN THEOREM HAS BEEN PROVED, H IS A Morse FUNCTION ON M AND V_H HAS PERIODIC FLOW.

JUST AS FOR THE HEIGHT FUNCTION ON S^2 ,

PERIODIC FLOW \longrightarrow S^1 -ACTION ON M

AND THE ACTION IS HAMILTONIAN

$$\mu : \text{Lie}(S^1) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

$$\mu(\xi) = \mu(i\alpha) = -\alpha H$$

$\xi = i\alpha \Rightarrow \xi^\# = V_{-\alpha H} = -\alpha V_H$ VANISHES ONLY AT THE CRITICAL POINTS OF H WHICH ARE ISOLATED AND SO, BY COMPACTNESS OF M , DISCRETE.

APPLY GENERALIZED DUISTERNAAT-HECKMAN WITH $\xi = i(-T)$, $T > 0$, SO THAT $\mu(\xi) = TH$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M e^{iTH} dV_\sigma &= \sum_{\xi^\#(p)=0} (2\pi i)^k \frac{e^{iTH(p)}}{\text{PF}(L_p(-iT))} \\ &= \sum_{dH(p)=0} \left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\right)^k \frac{(iT)^k e^{iTH(p)}}{\text{PF}(L_p(-iT))} \end{aligned}$$

ALL THAT REMAINS IS TO SHOW THAT

$$\frac{(i\pi)^k}{\text{PF}(L_p(-i\pi))} = \frac{e^{\pi i (\text{SGN}(\mathcal{H}_H(p)))/4}}{\sqrt{|\det(\mathcal{H}_H(p)(e_i, e_j))|}}$$

THIS BEGINS WITH A

LEMMA: H A Morse function, V_H ITS HAMILTONIAN VECTOR FIELD,
 $p \in \Sigma(V_H) = \text{CRIT}(H)$ AND $\mathcal{H}_H(p) : T_p(M) \times T_p(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ THE HESSIAN
 OF H AT p . THEN

$$\mathcal{H}_H(p)(\nu_p, \omega_p) = -\sigma_p \left((d_{V_H} V)_p, \omega_p \right)$$

WHERE V IS ANY VECTOR FIELD ON M WITH $V(p) = \nu_p$.

NOTHING DEEP HERE. JUST STRING TOGETHER HALF-A-DOZEN "WELL-KNOWN"
 IDENTITIES FROM GEOMETRY ($d_V \circ \flat_W = \flat_{[V, W]} + \flat_W \circ d_V$, ETC.)

NOW,

$$\begin{aligned} L_p(-i\pi)(\nu_p) &= (d_{(-i\pi)^{\sharp}} V)_p = (d_{TV_H} V)_p \\ &= T(d_{V_H} V)_p \end{aligned}$$

SO

$$\boxed{T\mathcal{H}_H(p)(\nu_p, \omega_p) = -\sigma_p(L_p(-i\pi)(\nu_p), \omega_p)}$$

IN PARTICULAR, IF $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2k}\}$ IS ANY BASIS FOR $T_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$T\mathcal{H}_{H(p)}(e_i, e_j) = -\sigma_p(L_p(-iT)(e_i), e_j)$$

WRITING THESE OUT IN MATRIX FORM AND TAKING DETERMINANTS GIVES

$$T^{2k} \det(\mathcal{H}_{H(p)}(e_i, e_j)) = \det(-L_p(-iT)) \det(\sigma_p(e_i, e_j))$$

NOW CHOOSE AN ORIENTED, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{S^1}$ -ORTHONORMAL BASIS $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2k}\}$

RELATIVE TO WHICH

$$(\sigma_p(e_i, e_j)) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

AND THE MATRIX OF $L_p(-iT)$ HAS THE FORM

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ -\lambda_1 & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & 0 & \lambda_k \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & 0 & \lambda_k \\ & & & & & & & & -\lambda_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

FOR NONZERO REAL NUMBERS $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$. THEN

$$T^{2k} \det(\mathcal{H}_{H(p)}(e_i, e_j)) = \lambda_1^2 \dots \lambda_k^2$$

$$T^k \sqrt{|\det(\mathcal{H}_{H(p)}(e_i, e_j))|} = \text{SIGN}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \text{Pf}(L_p(-iT))$$

NOW ALL THAT REMAINS IS TO SHOW THAT

$$\text{SIGN}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_R) = (-i)^R e^{\pi i (\text{SGN}(\mathcal{H}_H(p)))/4}$$

THIS IS EASY TO CHECK WHEN $R = 1$ BECAUSE

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} T\mathcal{H}_H(p)(e_1, e_1) & T\mathcal{H}_H(p)(e_1, e_2) \\ T\mathcal{H}_H(p)(e_2, e_1) & T\mathcal{H}_H(p)(e_2, e_2) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda_1 \\ \lambda_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

AND THE GENERAL CASE FOLLOWS BY INDUCTION.

FINALLY, NOTING THAT $\text{SGN}(\mathcal{H}_H(p))$ IS INDEPENDENT OF THE CHOICE OF BASIS AND $\det(\mathcal{H}_H(p)(e_i, e_j))$ IS THE SAME FOR ALL BASES SATISFYING $(dV_\sigma)_p(e_1, \dots, e_{2R}) = 1$ COMPLETES THE PROOF.