

## PROBLEM SET 4 (SOLUTIONS)

1. LET  $a$ ,  $b$ , AND  $c$  BE ODD INTEGERS. PROVE THAT THE QUADRATIC POLYNOMIAL  $ax^2 + bx + c$  CANNOT HAVE A RATIONAL ROOT.

THE POLYNOMIAL  $ax^2 + bx + c$  HAS TWO (GENERALLY, COMPLEX) ROOTS  $m$  AND  $n$  AND

$$mn = \frac{c}{a}$$

( $a \neq 0$  SINCE IT IS AN ODD INTEGER AND  $x^2 + \frac{b}{a}x + \frac{c}{a}$  FACTORS AS  $(x-m)(x-n) = x^2 - (m+n)x + mn$  SO  $\frac{b}{a} = -(m+n)$  AND  $\frac{c}{a} = mn$ ).

SINCE  $a$  AND  $c$  ARE INTEGERS,  $\frac{c}{a}$  IS RATIONAL SO IF ONE OF THE ROOTS IS RATIONAL, SO IS THE OTHER.

THUS, IF WE NOW ASSUME THAT  $ax^2 + bx + c$  HAS A RATIONAL ROOTS WE CAN CONCLUDE THAT BOTH  $m$  AND  $n$  ARE RATIONAL. CONSEQUENTLY, WE CAN FACTOR

$$ax^2 + bx + c = (px + q)(rx + s)$$

WHERE  $p, q, r$ , AND  $s$  ARE INTEGERS. SINCE

$$a = pr$$

IS ODD, BOTH  $p$  AND  $r$  MUST BE ODD. SINCE

$$c = qs$$

IS ODD, BOTH  $q$  AND  $s$  MUST BE ODD. SINCE  $p, q, r$ , AND  $s$  ARE

ALL ODD,  $ps + qr$  IS EVEN. BUT

$$b = ps + qr$$

SO THIS CONTRADICTS THE ASSUMPTION THAT  $a$ ,  $b$ , AND  $c$  ARE ALL ODD. THUS,  $ax^2 + bx + c$  CAN HAVE NO RATIONAL ROOT.

2. EVALUATE THE SUM  $\sum_{i=1}^n i \binom{n}{i}$  FOR ANY INTEGER  $n \geq 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n i \binom{n}{i} &= \sum_{i=1}^n i \binom{n}{i} \binom{n-1}{i-1} = n \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n-1}{i-1} \\ &= n \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}. \end{aligned}$$

BUT

$$(1+x)^{n-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j} x^j$$

SO, WHEN  $x=1$ ,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j} = 2^{n-1}$$

THUS,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i \binom{n}{i} = n 2^{n-1}.$$

ALTERNATIVE: DIFFERENTIATE  $(1+x)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} x^i$  AND EVALUATE AT  $x=1$ .

3. SHOW THAT FOR ANY REAL NUMBERS  $a, b,$  AND  $c$  THE EQUATION

$$4ax^3 + 3bx^2 + 2cx = a+b+c$$

HAS AT LEAST ONE ROOT BETWEEN 0 AND 1.

NOTE : APPLYING THE INTERMEDIATE VALUE THEOREM TO

$$f(x) = 4ax^3 + 3bx^2 + 2cx - (a+b+c)$$

DOESN'T WORK SO WELL SINCE

$$f(0) = -(a+b+c) \quad f(1) = 3a+2b+c$$

DO NOT OBVIOUSLY HAVE OPPOSITE SIGN.

INSTEAD, APPLY ROLLE'S THEOREM TO

$$F(x) = ax^4 + bx^3 + cx^2 - (a+b+c)x$$

(SO  $F'(x) = f(x)$ ).

$$F(0) = 0 = F(1)$$

SO  $\exists x_0 \in (0,1)$  S.T.  $F'(x_0) = 0$ , I.E.,

$$f(x_0) = 0.$$

4. LET  $A$  BE A LINEAR TRANSFORMATION ON AN  $n$ -DIMENSIONAL VECTOR SPACE  $V$  AND SUPPOSE  $A$  HAS A SET OF  $n+1$  EIGENVECTORS, ANY  $n$  OF WHICH ARE LINEARLY INDEPENDENT. PROVE THAT  $A$  IS A MULTIPLE OF THE IDENTITY.

LET  $v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}$  BE THE EIGENVECTORS AND  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}$  THE CORRESPONDING EIGENVALUES, FOR EACH  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ .

$$B_i = \{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_{n+1}\}$$

IS A BASIS FOR  $V$ , WITH RESPECT TO  $B_i$  THE MATRIX OF  $A$  IS

$$\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_{n+1})$$

SO

$$\text{Tr } A = S - \lambda_i$$

WHERE

$$S = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1}$$

THIS IS TRUE  $\forall i = 1, \dots, n+1$  SO IF  $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$ ,

$$S - \lambda_i = S - \lambda_j$$

$$\lambda_i = \lambda_j$$

ALL OF THE EIGENVALUES ARE EQUAL (TO, SAY,  $\lambda$ ) SO

$$A = \lambda I.$$

5. DEFINE A SEQUENCE BY  $a_0 = 1$ , TOGETHER WITH THE RULES  $a_{2n+1} = a_n$  AND  $a_{2n+2} = a_n + a_{n+1}$  FOR EACH  $n \geq 0$ . PROVE THAT EVERY POSITIVE RATIONAL NUMBER APPEARS IN THE SET

$$\left\{ \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n} : n \geq 1 \right\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{1}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots \right\}.$$

Denote this set by  $S$ . We show that for all positive integers  $a$  and  $b$ ,  $\frac{a}{b}$  is in  $S$ . The proof is by induction on  $a+b$ . If  $a+b = 2$  (the smallest value possible), then  $a=1$  and  $b=1$  so  $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{a_0}{a_1}$  is in  $S$ . Now suppose that  $\frac{a}{b}$  is in  $S$  whenever  $a$  and  $b$  are positive integers with  $a+b < m$ . Assume  $A$  and  $B$  are positive integers with  $A+B = m$  and consider  $\frac{A}{B}$ .

If  $A = B$ , then  $\frac{A}{B} = 1 = \frac{a_0}{a_1}$  is in  $S$ . If  $A > B$ , then  $(A-B) + B$  is less than  $A+B = m$  so the induction hypothesis implies that  $\frac{A-B}{B}$  is in  $S$ , i.e.,  $\frac{A-B}{B} = \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n}$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . But then

$$\frac{A-B}{B} = \frac{A}{B} - 1 = \frac{A}{B} - \frac{a_n}{a_n} = \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n}$$

so

$$\frac{A}{B} = \frac{a_{n-1} + a_n}{a_n} = \frac{a_{2n}}{a_{2n+1}}$$

is in  $S$ . If  $A < B$ , then  $A + (B-A)$  is less than  $A+B = m$  so

$\frac{A}{B-A}$  is in  $S$ , i.e.,  $\frac{A}{B-A} = \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n}$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Then

$$\frac{B-A}{A} = \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} \text{ so } \frac{B}{A} = \frac{a_n + a_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} \text{ and } \frac{A}{B} = \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n + a_{n-1}} = \frac{a_{2n-1}}{a_{2n}}$$

is in  $S$ .